### (UNREDACTED)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NO. 13-20067

ROBERT DREW,

Defendant.

-----

TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN T. FOWLKES, JR., JUDGE

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON

APRIL 23, 2014

THURSDAY MORNING

APRIL 24, 2014

LYNN DUDLEY
OFFICIAL REPORTER
923-A FEDERAL BUILDING
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38103

### APPEARANCES

Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff:

EDWARD L. STANTON, ESQ.
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
SUITE 800
167 NORTH MAIN STREET
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38103
BY: C. DAVID BIGGERS, JR.
SAMUEL R. STRINGFELLOW
ASSISTANT U. S. ATTORNEYS

Appearing on behalf of the Defendant:

DORIS RANDLE-HOLT
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
200 JEFFERSON AVENUE
SUITE 200
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38103
BY: MARY C. JERMANN-ROBINSON
NEDDUM L. GERMANY, III
ASSISTANT FEDERAL DEFENDERS

WITNESS	PAGE	LINE
GEORGE HERBERT		
DIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MR. STRINGFELLOW3	45	6
MURRAY WILSON		
DIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MR. BIGGERS3	53	6
CROSS EXAMINATION		
BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON3	59	12
TONY COX		
DIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MR. BIGGERS3	61	6
CROSS EXAMINATION		
BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON3	82	3
BRIAN WEAKS		
DIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MR. BIGGERS3	84	6
ROBERT DREW		
DIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON4	0.5	6

WITNESS	PAGE	LINE
MARVIN PENDER		
DIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON411		6
CROSS EXAMINATION		
BY MR. BIGGERS416		20
REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON420		6
CAROL ANN MASON		
DIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON422		6
CROSS EXAMINATION		
BY MR. BIGGERS424		14
REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON427		22
REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MR. BIGGERS428		14

## $\underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \ \underline{\mathtt{X}} \ \ \underline{\mathtt{H}} \ \ \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \ \underline{\mathtt{B}} \ \ \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \quad \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \ \underline{\mathtt{N}} \ \ \underline{\mathtt{D}} \ \ \underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \ \underline{\mathtt{X}}$

EXHIBIT NU	MBER			PAGE	LINE
Exhibit Number	32	Photographs	372		11
Exhibit Number	33	Stipulation	391		9
Exhibit Number	3 4	Chronology	415		10

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON 1 2 APRIL 23, 2014 3 The trial of this case resumed on this date, Wednesday, April 23, 2014, at 1:15 o'clock 4 5 p.m., when and where evidence was introduced and proceedings were had as follows: 6 7 8 9 10 THE COURT: All right. All the jurors 11 here? 12 THE CLERK: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Anything before we get 14 back to the jury? 15 MR. BIGGERS: Your Honor, these next three witnesses it will require the lights be dimmed for a 16 17 portion of their testimony. 18 THE COURT: All right. I will give them a 19 heads up on that, it's not a power outrage or 20 anything like that. 21 MR. BIGGERS: And I hope they don't fall 22 asleep after their lunch. 23 THE COURT: All right. Are we ready? MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor. 24

THE COURT: All right. Bring them in,

1 please. 2 (Jury present at 1:30 p.m.) 3 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, 4 ladies and gentlemen. 5 A JUROR: Good afternoon. 6 THE COURT: I hope lunch was good, don't 7 fall asleep now or anything like that. 8 I do want to alert you to one thing that 9 the next couple of witnesses, there's been a request 10 to dim the lights when we look at some of these 11 videos. It may help to bring things in better 12 focus, I don't know, something with the light. 13 So the way it will look in here as though 14 court is closed. You see when court is closed, all 15 of these lights are out with the exception of, I 16 think, one back there by the back door and maybe one 17 or two up here, and so it will be fairly dark in 18 here. It won't be pitch dark, but don't be alarmed, 19 it's not power outage or anything when they dim the 20 lights. Okay. With that being said, I think we can move 21 22 forward now. 23 And, Mr. Stringfellow, if you would, 24 please, call your next witness.

MR. STRINGFELLOW: The government calls

Officer George Herbert. THE COURT: All right. You are good right there. If you would, please, raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm, under the penalties of perjury, the testimony that you are about to provide the court and jury in the case now on trial to be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God? THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Have a seat right here if you would, please. 

1 GEORGE HERBERT,

2 was thereupon called as a witness on behalf of the

- 3 | Plaintiff, and having been first duly sworn,
- 4 was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 6 BY MR. STRINGFELLOW:
- 7 Q. State and spell your name for the record.
- 8 A. Detective George Herbert, H-e-r-b-e-r-t.
- 9 Q. Detective Herbert, you work for the Memphis
- 10 | Police Department?
- 11 **A.** I do.

- 12 **Q.** What do you do?
- 13 **A.** Right now I'm a detective with Old Allen
- 14 | Station General Investigations Bureau.
- 15 **Q.** And how long have you worked with the Memphis
- 16 | Police Department?
- 17 **A.** All total, 20 years.
- 18  $\| Q$ . Were you working for the Memphis Police
- 19 Department in December 7th, 2012?
- 20 **A.** Yes, I was.
- 21 **Q.** Were you assigned to a different area within
- 22 | the Memphis Police Department that day?
- 23 **A.** Yes, I was in uniform patrol.
- 24 **Q.** Now what does uniform patrol do?
- 25 **A.** Uniform patrol responds to calls for

- 1 domestics, burglaries, robberies.
- 2 Q. On December 7th, 2012, did you respond to a
- 3 robbery in progress at the KFC on Highway 64?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 | Q. I'm showing you what's been previously
- 6 admitted into evidence as Exhibit 30.
- 7 Do you recognize that?
- 8 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. Is that the KFC that the robbery in progress
- 10 came from?
- 11 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. When you arrived at the KFC, what did you do?
- 13 **A.** We secured the scene and made contact with
- 14  $\parallel$  the cashier that was working the counter.
- 15 **Q.** And generally speaking, when you all respond
- 16  $\parallel$  to robberies in progress, what type of information
- 17 | are you all looking to collect?
- 18  $\parallel$  **A.** The first thing we want to know is if the
- 19  $\parallel$  scene is secure and if the suspect is still on the
- 20 scene. And in this incident he was not.
- 21  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Were you all able to -- did you speak with
- 22 the victim?
- 23 **A.** I did not, my partner did.
- 24 **Q.** What did you do?
- 25 **| A.** I went inside and made contact with the

- 1 manager and watched the security surveillance video.
- 2 **Q.** Do you know if a description of the suspect
- 3 was broadcast out?
- 4 A. Yes, it was.
- 5 **Q.** How do you know that?
- 6 A. I heard it over my radio, my partner put it
- 7 out.
- 8 Q. What -- what was the description that was
- 9 | broadcast out?
- 10 | A. Male black, dark blue hoody, faded bluejeans,
- 11 wearing a dark colored puffy jacket, armed with a
- 12 handgun.
- 13 **Q.** When you were meeting with the manager, did
- 14 you then watch the video?
- 15 **A**. Yes, I did.
- 16 **Q.** What did it show in the video?
- 17 **A.** The individual came in through the west door,
- 18  $\parallel$  approached the counter, pointed a gun at the clerk.
- 20 **∥** individual stood at the counter for a few minutes
- 21  $\parallel$  with the gun, put his left hand on the counter and
- 22 | leaned forward, then he backed off and went back out
- 23 the west door.
- 24 **Q.** I'm now going to show you what has been
- 25 previously admitted into evidence as Exhibit 31, the

1 KFC video.

3

2 (Videotape playing.)

#### BY MR. STRINGFELLOW:

- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$ . Do you recognize the individual on the left
- 5 side of that video?
- 6 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 7  $\blacksquare$  **0.** Who is that?
- 8 **A.** That is Mr. Baker.
- 9  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Was he the victim --
- 10 **A.** He was the --
- 11 **Q.** -- of the attempted robbery?
- 12  $\blacksquare$  A. -- he was the clerk at the cashier.
- 13  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And the individual on the right, is that the
- 14 suspect of the attempted robbery?
- 15 **A**. Yes, it is.
- 16 Q. Would you please, using the screen in front
- 17 of you, circle what appears to be a gun?
- 18 **A.** Right there (indicating).
- 19  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  And would you also draw a circle around what
- 20 you described as a dark colored jacket.
- 21 A. (Indicating).
- 22 **Q.** Officer Herbert, was there anything
- 23 inconsistent with what the victim told you and what
- 24 you saw in the video?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. What was that?
- 2 **A.** The color of the weapon.
- Q. After you watched this video and secured the scene, what did you then do?
- 5 A. I completed the incident report on the PDA or 6 the handheld computer.
- 7 **Q.** And did you leave?
- A. Not right away. Sergeant Murry Wilson, from felony response, made the scene and also my
- 10 lieutenant.

- 11 **Q.** What is felony response?
- 12 A. Felony response handles all felony situations
  13 that happen after hours. They will be the initial
  14 officers that make the scene of homicides that
  15 happen at night, robberies, burglaries, any -16 anything where somebody is a violent crime or
- 17 somebody was taken into custody.
- And then once they did their initial
  investigation, they pass it back to the appropriate
  bureau that works day shift and they handle it.
- 21 **Q.** So when Sergeant Wilson arrived, was that the end of your role in this investigation?
- 23 **A.** After submitting the report into the computer, yes.
- 25 Q. Do you know if any fingerprints were taken

- 1 | from the KFC?
- 2 **A.** No, sir, no prints were taken.
- 3 **Q.** And why was that?
- 4 **A.** The individual was wearing gloves.
- 5 Q. Did you observe that in one of the videos?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7  $\mathbf{Q}$ . I'm now going to show the second angle on
- 8 what's been previously admitted into evidence as
- 9 Exhibit 31, the KFC video.
- 10 Officer Herbert, is that -- the door right
- 11 there, is that the west side exit that you mentioned
- 12 | earlier?
- 13 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 14 (Videotape playing.)
- 15 BY MR. STRINGFELLOW:
- 16  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  And is that the robber that we saw on the
- 17 other video?
- 18 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 19  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$ . Office Herbert, when you watch the videos,
- 20 does it appears that the suspect was wearing a mask?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.
- 22  $\parallel Q$ . Now I'm going to ask if you can see where he
- 23 | is wearing a glove in this video?
- Will you please circle what you thought was a
- 25

glove.

1	A. (Indicating).
2	MR. STRINGFELLOW: Your Honor, may I
3	confer with cocounsel?
4	THE COURT: Go ahead.
5	MR. STRINGFELLOW: That's all the
6	questions that I have for Detective Herbert.
7	Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	And is there cross?
10	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: One moment, please.
11	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Your Honor no
13	questions.
14	Thank you.
15	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
16	Detective Herbert, thank you very much.
17	You can step down, you are excused.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	(Witness excused.)
20	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
21	MR. BIGGERS: The government calls
22	Sergeant Wilson to the witness stand, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. You are good right
24	there.
25	Raise your right hand.

Do you solemnly swear or affirm, under the penalties of perjury, the testimony that you are about to provide the court and jury in the case now on trial to be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Have a seat right here if you would, please.

1 MURRAY WILSON,

2 was thereupon called as a witness on behalf of the

- 3 | Plaintiff, and having been first duly sworn,
- 4 was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 6 BY MR. BIGGERS:
- 7 O. Good afternoon.
- 8 A. Good afternoon.
- 9 Q. Please state and spell your name for the
- 10 record.

- 11 A. It's Murray Wilson. M-u-r-r-a-y,
- $12 \quad W-i-l-s-o-n$ .
- 13 **Q.** Where are you currently employed?
- 14 **A.** The Memphis Police Department.
- 15 **Q.** How long have you been with the MPD?
- 16 A. A little over 21 years.
- 17  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What position do you currently hold with MPD?
- 18 **| A.** I am a sergeant with the felony in response
- 19 unit.
- 20  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Briefly describe the function and duty of a
- 21 | felony in response detective?
- 22 **A.** Felony in response, we handle after hours
- 23 | investigation, any felony investigation that
- 24 requires us making the scene that occurs between
- 25 | four and midnight, that's what we do, we make the

- scene or they bring them to us. Just anything that requires investigation.
- 3 Q. Now were you in this position in December of 2012?
- 5 **A.** I was.
- Q. Specifically were you working the evening of December 7th, 2012?
- 8 A. Yes, I was.
- 9 **Q.** Did you receive a call to respond to an attempted robbery at the KFC or Kentucky Fried
- 11 Chicken located at 8995 Highway 64?
- 12 **A.** I was.
- 13 Q. Approximately what time did you receive that
- 14 | call?
- 15 **A.** I received the call around 9:30, 9:45 that evening.
- 17 Q. Did you, in fact, respond to the scene?
- 18 **A.** I did.
- 19 **Q.** By the time that you had arrived to the scene 20 had other offices already made the scene and secured
- 21 lit?
- 22 **A.** Yes, there was two uniform patrol officers on the scene when I arrived.
- 24 **Q.** Who were those uniform patrol officer?
- 25 **A.** Officer Herbert and I believe Stewart, and I

- 1 | believe Lieutenant Glass was on the scene as well.
- 2 Q. Was Lieutenant Glass the lieutenant for
- 3 | officers Herbert and Stewart?
  - A. Yes, she was.
- 5 Q. When you make the scene as part of felony
- 6 response, what's your objective, what's your point
- 7 of coming to the scene?
- 8 **A.** First of all to determine if a crime had
- 9 occurred, what investigation, if any, is necessary
- 10 to take statements, if necessary, and also be
- 11 responsible for calling the crime scene if
- 12 necessary.

- 13 Q. In this particular case did you have an
- 14 popportunity the speak to any victim?
- 15 **A.** I did.
- 16 **Q.** Who did you speak to?
- 17 **A.** I spoke with the cashier that was working
- 18 | that day, I believe a Mr. Baker.
- 19  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  You obtained a suspect description from
- 20 Mr. Baker?
- 21 **A.** I did.
- 22 **Q.** All right. Did you review any other evidence
- 23 while on the scene?
- 24 **A.** I did. I went in with the manager and also
- 25 with the lieutenant on the scene and viewed the

1 | video.

2

3

4

6

7

16

17

- Q. You motioned at the scene, is this -- do you recognize what is depicted --
- **A.** Yes --
- 5 **Q.** -- on the television screen in front of you?
  - A. This is an accurate depiction of the video that I watched, yes.
- 8 Q. From that description that you saw on the video, was the clothing description consistent with what the victim told you?
- 11 A. It was slightly different. He told me the

  12 suspect was wearing what he appeared to be, to him,

  13 was a bubble coat. And upon watching -- looking at

  14 the video it didn't appear to us it was.
- 15 **Q.** Did you receive a description of the firearm?
  - A. Yes. He told me -- he told me that it was a silver handgun. Which, upon reviewing the video, it appeared to be black.
- 19 Q. Please play the first clip.
- While it is being pulled up.
- 21 At the time you arrived on the scene had a 22 suspect or person been identified as the robber?
- 23 **A.** Identified, no, just had a description of an individual.
- 25 **Q.** Was anyone able to provide you any

information as to how that person left the scene?

- A. Other than he left on foot towards the
- 3 Circle K.

1

- 4  $\mathbf{Q}$ . From your review of the video could you tell
- 5 whether or not the robbery suspect's face was
- 6 covered at all?
- 7  $\blacksquare$  A. It appeared to be, yes.
- 8 **|| O.** How?
- 9 **A.** With a mask.
- 10 Q. Do you know if any -- you mentioned that
- 11 crime scene may or may not have been called, do you
- 12 know if crime screen actually came to this scene?
- 13 **A.** No, the crime scene was not called and that
- 14  $\parallel$  was my decision after reviewing the video and the
- 15 information given to me by the victim, the suspect
- 16 | appeared to be wearing gloves, so there was nothing
- 17 to process.
- 18  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  We're playing this portion of the video.
- 19 (Videotape playing.)
- 20 A. That's the suspect walking in the door there.
- 21 See him producing what looks like a black handgun
- 22 pointing it at the -- at the victim.
- 23 And I believe here in a moment he actually
- 24 cocks, there he is, he's cocking the revolver. And
- 25 he reaches over, I guess trying to get into the cash

- 1 register, but he is unsuccessful.
- 2 | Q. You mentioned that he was wearing gloves,
- 3 | that hand you saw him reach over with, was that one
- 4 of the gloved hands?
- 5 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- 7 MR. BIGGERS: Mr. Herrin, you can bring
- 8 the lights back up.
  - BY MR. BIGGERS:

- 10 **Q.** Sergeant Wilson, per your investigation on
- 11 | that night, December 7th, 2012, after reviewing this
- 12 | video, were you able to come up with any
- 13 | identification of who this robber was?
- 14 || A. No, sir, nothing other than what we observed
- 15 on the video.
- 16 **Q.** And you do any report in relation to your
- 17 work on this case?
- 18 **|| A.** I just did a very short supplement. When I'm
- 19 done with that, we just do the preliminary
- 20 investigation, the felony response, and then we turn
- 21 | it over to the appropriate investigating bureau,
- 22 which at that time was the robbery bureau.
- 23 **Q.** And do you know when the robbery bureau would
- 24 have likely received this case?
- 25 **A.** That next morning when they came in to work.

And you handled it because you're felony 1 Q. 2 response, you handled things after hours, is that 3 correct? 4 Α. That's correct. 5 MR. BIGGERS: No further questions of the 6 witness, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 8 And is there cross? 9 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you, Your 10 Honor. 11 CROSS EXAMINATION 12 BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: 13 Sergeant Wilson? Q. 14 Yes, ma'am. Α. 15 Okay. Sergeant Wilson, I just want to make Q. sure I heard right, you said that Mr. Baker told you 16 17 that it was a puffy jacket or a bubble jacket or 18 something like that? 19 Yes, ma'am. Α. 20 Q. Okay. 21 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Nothing further, 22 Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 Any redirect? 25 MR. BIGGERS: Nothing of this witness,

1	Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Sergeant, thank you
3	very much, you can step down, you are excused.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, thank you.
5	(Witness excused.)
6	MR. BIGGERS: Your Honor, the government
7	calls Sergeant Tony Cox to the witness stand.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	Okay. You are good right there.
L 0	Officer, raise your right hand.
L1	Do you solemnly swear or affirm, under the
L2	penalties of perjury, the testimony that you are
L3	about to provide the court and jury in the case now
L 4	on trial to be the truth, the whole truth and
L 5	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
L 6	THE WITNESS: I do.
L 7	THE COURT: All right. Come forward if
L 8	you would, please, and take the chair right there in
L 9	the witness stand.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 TONY COX, 2 was thereupon called as a witness on behalf of the 3 Plaintiff, and having been first duly sworn, 4 was examined and testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BIGGERS: 6 7 Good afternoon. Q. 8 Good afternoon. 9 Q. Please state and spell your name in the 10 microphone? 11 Sergeant Tony Cox -- T-o-n-y C-o-x. Α. 12 Where are you currently employed? Q. 13 Memphis Police Department, Crump GIB. 14 Say Crump GIB. Q. 15 Correct. Α. 16 Q. What does that mean? 17 That's, GIB stands for General Investigative 18 Bureau. 19 What are your duties in your assignment to 20 the GIB? 21 I investigate a number of different crimes. 22 What position do you hold with the Memphis Q. 23 Police Department? 24 I'm a sergeant. 25 How long have you been with MPD?

- 1 A. Nineteen years.
- 2 Q. In December of 2012 what position did you
- 3 hold with MPD?
- 4 **A.** I was a robbery investigator.
- 5 Q. Were you working specifically -- well, did
- 6 you learn of a robbery, attempted robbery that
- 7 | occurred at the KFC on Highway 64 on the night of
- 8 December 7th, 2012.
- 9 **A.** I did.
- 10 Q. All right. How did you first learn of that
- 11 robbery?
- 12 **A.** I was assigned that case.
- 13 Q. Do you recall when you were assigned that
- 14 case?
- 15 **A.** It was December the 10th.
- 16  $\mathbf{Q}$ . So a few days after the robbery, is that
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. What -- describe for the ladies and gentlemen
- 20 of the jury what type of information that you had at
- 21 your disposal when you were first assigned the case?
- 22  $\| \mathbf{A} \|$  I had the initial report from the uniform
- 23 patrol, and I had the supplement from felony
- 24 response.
- 25 **Q.** Did you have an opportunity to review that

- 1 | information?
- 2 **A.** I did.
- 3 Q. All right. At the time had a suspect been
- 4 | identified as a person responsible for committing
- 5 | that attempted robbery?
- 6  $\| \mathbf{A} \|$  We had a description of a suspect.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Did you know that person's name at that time?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 **Q.** What efforts did you do per your
- 10 | investigation to determine the identity of that
- 11 suspect?
- 12 **A.** I -- I basically went to KFC to get a copy of
- 13 the video, and I spoke with the victim to see
- 14 ∥ what -- what description he could give me or any
- 15 leads.
- 16  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  You say the victim, who did you speak to?
- 17 **A.** Jesse -- what is his name -- Jesse Baker.
- 18 **Q.** When did you speak to Jesse Baker?
- 19 **A.** December the 11th.
- 20 Q. The following day after being assigned the
- 21 case?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Did you -- were you able to get -- obtain a
- 24 description from Jesse Baker of the suspect?
- 25 **A.** I did.

- 1 Q. All right. Specifically what clothing did 2 you receive?
- A. He said the suspect was wearing light
  bluejeans. It was a jacket that was light blue and
  dark blue checkered pattern. He had -- he was
- 6 wearing boots, and he was armed with a revolver.
- Q. During your, I guess, interview and statement that you took from Jesse Baker, did he tell you the color of the firearm?
- 10 **A.** I think he said it was silver in color.
- 11 **Q.** Did you have an opportunity to actually review the video?
- 13 **A.** I did.

16

- 14 Q. What color did the firearm appear to be in

the video?

- 17 Q. After you obtained the video from the KFC and
- 18 spoke to Mr. Baker, did you do anything else per
- 19 your investigation?
- 20 **A.** Could you repeat that?

It was black.

- 21 **Q.** Specifically on December 11th --
- 22 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 23 **Q.** -- did you receive any additional information 24 regarding --
- 25 **A.** I --

- Q. -- your investigation?
- 2 A. -- I did. I -- I received a call from
- 3 | Sergeant Atkins with the Oakland Police Department.
  - Q. What was the nature of that conversation?
- 5 **A.** Basically he, to my understanding, was aware
- 6  $\parallel$  of an attempt robbery that happened at that KFC and
- 7 he was doing a follow-up with me in regards to that
- 8 robbery.

1

- 9 Q. You say he was aware of a robbery, was that
- 10 the same robbery that you were assigned?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. What, if any, information did you request
- 13 | from Sergeant Atkins?
- 14 || A. I requested the name of the suspect that he
- 15 | had, the clothing description or whatever, you know,
- 16  $\parallel$  whatever information he could provide to me.
- 17 **Q.** Was he able to provide you with any
- 18 | information?
- 19 **A.** He was.
- 20 **Q.** What did he provide you with?
- 21 **A.** He provided me with photos of the clothing
- 22 | that that suspect that he in custody was wearing
- 23  $\parallel$  that night that he was arrested as well as the --
- 24 the weapon.
- 25  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Now I'm going to show you clothing.

- This is Exhibit 29.
- 2 You recognize those photographs?
- 3 **|| A.** I do.
- 4 Q. Speaks into the mic, please.
- 5 **|| A**. I do.
- 6  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What are those photographs of?
- 7 **A.** That is a photograph of the jacket that
- 8 Mr. Robert Drew was wearing apparently that night.
- 9 Q. Now you say "apparently," let me ask you
- 10 specifically.
- 11 These photographs, do you know or have you
- 12 seen them before?
- 13 **| A.** I have.
- 14 **Q.** Where did you see them?
- 15 **A.** They -- they were photos sent to me by the
- 16 Oakland Police Department as well as from the video
- 17 | that I viewed from my robbery.
- 18  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  You're talking about the KFC robbery?
- 19 **A.** That's correct.
- 20 **Q.** These are the photographs that Sergeant
- 21 Atkins sent you?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Is that consistent with what you saw in the
- 24 video?
- 25 **A**. It is.

- 1 **Q.** How?
- 2 **A.** Well, the -- it's a light blue and dark blue
- 3 checkered pattern jacket with a hood.
- 4 Q. What color is the hood?
- 5 A. The hood is black.
- 6 **Q.** Anything else unique about this jacket?
- 7 A. It had a logo on the left -- the left pocket.
- 8 Q. Please circle what you are referring to.
- 9 A. (Indicating).
- 10 Q. Second page of Exhibit 29.
- 11 Do you recognize that?
- 12 **A.** I do.
- 13  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What is that?
- 14 **A.** Those were the jeans, photo of jeans that
- 15 were sent from what Mr. Drew was wearing.
- 16 **Q.** This is also what you received from Sergeant
- 17 Atkins, is that correct?
- 18 **A.** That's correct.
- 19 Q. Those jeans consistent with what you saw in
- 20 your KFC robbery video?
- 21 **A.** They were.
- 22 **Q.** Third page of Exhibit 29.
- 23 You recognize that?
- 24 **A.** I do.
- 25  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What is that?

- 1 A. That's a ski mask that my suspect was
- 2 wearing.
- 3 **Q.** Now where did you get these photographs?
- 4 **A.** From Detective Atkins.
- 5 Q. You say "ski mask," clearly it's a hat, is
- 6 | that correct?
- 7 **A.** Correct.
- 8 Q. Do you see any eyeholes in that hat?
- 9 **|| A**. I do.
- 10  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Now a ski mask, if you buy a ski mask the
- 11 holes are manufactured, come manufactured in the
- 12 mask, is that correct?
- 13 **A.** That's correct.
- 14 **Q.** Was that the way this mask was?
- 15 **A.** No, it wasn't.
- 16 **Q.** Do you recognize the fourth page of
- 17 | Exhibit 29?
- 18 **A.** I do.
- 19  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What is that?
- 20 **A.** That is the thermal, white thermal shirt that
- 21 was sent to me by Detective Atkins that Mr. Drew
- 22 apparently was wearing.
- 23  $\mathbf{Q}$ . You say a "thermal shirt," the photograph of
- 24  $\parallel$  the shirt, is that correct?
- 25 **A.** Correct.

- 1 Q. Was it consistent with your robbery suspect 2 at all?
- 3 A. It was. My robbery suspect did have -- I
- 4 could tell that he had a light shirt on up under
- 5 the -- the coat that he was wearing.
- 6 Q. Another page of Exhibit 29.
- 7 Do you recognize those?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. What are those?
- 10 **A.** Those are gloves.
- 11 Q. All right. Was your robbery suspect wearing
- 12 gloves?
- 13 **A.** He was.
- 14  $\| \mathbf{Q}_{\cdot} \|$  Are those consistent with the gloves that you
- 15 saw in your video?
- 16 **A.** It is.
- 17 Q. Do you recognize that?
- 18 **A.** I do.
- 19 **Q.** Another photograph of Exhibit 29.
- What are those?
- 21  $\blacksquare$  A. Those are the boots that -- a photograph of
- 22 the boots that were collected from Mr. Drew.
- 23 **Q.** Your robbery suspect, do you recall what type
- 24 of shoes he was wearing?
- 25 **A.** He was wearing some -- some boots like that.

- 1 **Q.** Another page from Exhibit 29.
- 2 Do you recognize that?
- 3 **|| A.** I do.
- 4  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What is that?
- 5 A. That's the revolver, a picture of the
- 6 revolver that was collected from Mr. Drew.
- 7 Q. Also consistent with the revolver used in
- 8 | your robbery?
- 9 **A**. It is.
- 10 **Q.** Now do you recall if Sergeant Atkins provided
- 11 you with any photographs of their robbery suspect?
- 12 **A.** He did.
- 13 Q. Another page from Exhibit 29.
- 14 Do you recognize that?
- 15 **A**. I do.
- 16  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What is that?
- 17 **A.** That's a photograph of the robbery suspect in
- 18 | their robbery in Oakland apparently.
- 19  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  And do you see any of the clothing items that
- 20 you saw identified in the other photographs on this
- 21 picture?
- 22 **A.** I do.
- 23 **Q.** Please name them and circle them?
- 24 **A.** Okay. This is the ski mask, the jacket, the
- 25 white shirt that I was referring to, the revolver

- 1 | and the jacket has the logo right here (indicating).
- 2 Q. All right. Last picture from Exhibit 29.
- 3 Same thing, do you recognize this photograph?
- 4 **A.** I do.
- 5 **Q.** What's shown there?
- 6 A. This is the revolver, the white shirt, the
- 7 | jacket, gloves -- glove I should say (indicating).
- 8 Q. Only one glove in this photograph, is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 **Q.** Which robbery -- which robbery is this
- 12 | photograph from?
- 13 **A.** This is the photograph from the Oakland
- 14 robbery.
- 15 **Q.** This is the photograph that was provided to
- 16 you by Sergeant Atkins?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 **Q.** Showing you three photographs.
- 19 Tell me if you recognize those photographs?
- 20 **A.** I do.
- 21  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What are those three photographs of?
- 22 **A.** These are the photographs of the KFC robbery
- 23 and I think it's 8995 Highway 64.
- 24  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Do all three of those photographs accurately
- 25 depict what is displayed on the robbery during that

robbery?

A. Correct.

MR. BIGGERS: At this time the government moves to admit all three photographs.

THE COURT: All right. I believe next is number 32?

THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. We will introduce them, be collective, three photographs will be Exhibit 32.

(Exhibit Number 32 was marked;

12 Description: Photographs.)

### BY MR. BIGGERS:

- Q. Now, Sergeant Cox, you just reviewed for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury the consistency with the clothing items that were photographed and sent to you by Sergeant Atkins and that worn by the robbery suspect in the Oakland robbery. I'm about to show you these still shots that you just identified from the KFC robbery that also occurred on December 7th, 2012 and I want you to point out the consistency of these photos for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Okay.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. First picture in collective

Exhibit 32.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

What consistencies do you see in that?

- A. Well, he's wearing a ski mask here

  (indicating) with a hood on this jacket which is

  light complexion -- I mean, light blue, dark blue
- 6 checkered board pattern.

7 He's wearing a glove here (indicating) with 8 the light bluejeans.

Can't really show you -- see the boots from here, but also he has a white shirt up under that jacket.

- Q. Do you notice the emblem that you identified on the other photographs on this exhibit?
- 14 **A.** There it is right there (indicating).
- 15 Q. Second page of Exhibit 32.

Now in this exhibit are you able to see any of those items that were photographed and sent to you?

- A. I can tell that there is the white shirt sleeve. He has the handgun here (indicating), that same jacket on, ski mask.
- MR. BIGGERS: All right. Your Honor, at this time ask Mr. Herrin to please dim the lights and go to the video.

Now play the second video.

1 (Videotape playing.)

## BY MR. BIGGERS:

2

3

- Q. Now this video plays, Sergeant Cox, I'm going to ask special agent, pause it right there.
- I want you to describe the clothing or any other consistent clothing worn by the KFC robbery suspect's clothing?
- A. These are the boots that were consistent with the photos that was sent to me by Detective Atkins, the light bluejeans, the jacket that has a hood, and the ski mask.
- 12  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Do you also see the shirt in that --
- 13 **A.** Shirt --
- 14 **Q.** -- image?
- 15 **A.** -- shirt sleeve right there.
- 16 **Q.** Were you able to tell how this suspect left the store?
- 18 **A.** Well, he left out of the same door he came
- 19 in. So I would say that's probably, I don't know --
- 20 I don't know the exact direction so I don't want to
- 21 say.
- 22 **Q.** Did he leave on foot?
- 23 **A.** Yes, he did leave on foot.
- Q. Where did he go once he walked out of the
- 25 store?

- 1 A. He went -- he went next door, which is the
- 2 Circle K.
- 3 | Q. Do you recognize what's shown on the photo
- 4 here, image on the screen?
- 5 **A.** It appears to be the parking lot of the KFC.
- 6 Q. And which way does that KFC face --
- 7 **|| A.** It --
- 8 Q. -- well, not direction, but what's -- what's
- 9 | in front of it?
- 10 **A.** The highway, Highway 64.
- 11 **Q.** Do you see Highway 64 on that --
- 12 **A.** I do.
- 13  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Where is that?
- 14 Please make an X on Highway 64.
- 15 **A.** (Indicating).
- 16 Q. All right. Give me full screen, please.
- Okay. Okay. Play it?
- 18 **| A.** Oh, I'm sorry, that looks like the Circle K
- 19 there.
- 20 Q. All right.
- 21 **A.** Because I can see the -- so apparently
- 22 | Highway 64 has to be right there, I believe
- 23 (indicating).
- 24 Q. Okay. Hit play.
- 25 (Videotape playing.)

BY MR. BIGGERS:

- $\mathbf{Q}$ . Who is that walking up from the X?
- **A.** That's my robbery suspect.
- 4 | Q. What -- you see a door there, where did he
- 5 | just go?

- **A.** He went in the side entrance to the KFC.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . I see lights flashing in that area where you
- 8 drew the X, what is that?
- **A.** Those are passing vehicles.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . Is that Highway 64?
- **A.** That is.
- $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  You see someone come out of that door --
- **A.** I did.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . -- who was that?
- **A.** That's robbery suspect.
- **Q.** And where is he going?
- **A.** He fled and went towards the -- the Circle K.
- **Q.** Okay. Again, where is the Circle K?
- **A.** (Indicating).
- 20 Q. Now on that video did he run toward the
- 21 Circle K or towards the Highway 64?
- **A.** Well, he ran towards Highway 64 in the
- 23 direction towards Circle K.
- 24 Q. Pause it for me.
- Now right here next to the Circle, between

- the Circle K and the KFC, is that a wall or do you 1 2 know?
- 3 I don't recall whether there was a wall or 4 It looks like it may be though.
- 5 Okay. Now from the time you received that 0. information from the Oakland Police Department, what 6 7 did you do with that information?
- When I received that information, basically I started trying to get them to send me some -- more detailed information and pictures of the suspect so 11 I could kind of compare it with the video.
- 12 And is that what you just reviewed in the 13 court as far as the clothing that you compared 14 between the two suspects?
  - I -- correct.

8

9

10

- 16 Once you made that comparison, what 17 determination did you come to?
- 18 I made the determination that it was in all 19 likely probable hood that that was the same suspect 20 based on the clothing description.
- 21 Q. Lights.
- 22 Anything unique about the jeans?
- 23 They had -- they were light in color but it 24 seems like the thigh area of the jeans were kind of 25 faded or worn.

- 1 Q. Showing you Exhibit 27, been admitted, the 2 jeans worn by the defendant Robert Drew.
- Do you see that worn thigh area, that you just testified to, on these jeans?
- 5 **|| A**. I do.
- 6 Q. Showing you what's been admitted as Exhibit
- 7 10.
- 8 Do you recognize this?
- 9 **| A.** I do.
- 10  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What is that?
- 11 **A.** That's the jacket that the suspect was
- 12 wearing.
- 13 **Q.** When you say "the suspect," which suspect are
- 14 you referring to?
- 15 **A.** Robert Drew.
- 16 Q. Okay. Was that based --
- 17 A. Well, the suspect at the KFC, that -- that
- 18 robber that attempted to rob the KFC.
- 19  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And this consistent with the photograph sent
- 20 to you by the Oakland Police Department?
- 21 **A.** It is.
- 22 **Q.** Do you see that emblem that you made
- 23 reference to?
- 24 **A.** I do.
- 25 **Q.** Where is it, describe its location?

- 1 A. It's on the left pocket.
- 2 Q. Is this it (indicating)?
- 3 **| A.** It is.
- 4 Q. You described a shirt, undershirt.
- 5 Show you what's been marked and admitted as
- 6 Exhibit 28.
- 7 Recognize that?
- 8 **A.** I do.
- 9  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What is that?
- 10 **A.** That's the thermal, white thermal shirt that
- 11 was in -- in the photo of the robbery at the KFC.
- 12  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  And these are the sleeve -- the sleeves in
- 13 this shirt is what you identified during the video,
- 14 | is that correct?
- 15 **A.** That's correct.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. Once you made this comparison to determine it
- 17 was the same robber, what action did you take to
- 18 | follow-up?
- 19 A. I -- I called Detective Atkins and see --
- 20 attempted to try to set up an appointment so I could
- 21 do an interview with Mr. Drew.
- 22 **Q.** Did you have an occasion to speak to the
- 23 person that you described as Robert Drew?
- 24 **A.** I did.
- 25 **Q.** Did you see him?

- 1 A. Do I see him now?
- 2 Q. Did you see when you --
- 3 **| A.** I did --
- 4  $\mathbf{Q}$ . -- talked to him?
- 5 **A.** -- I did.
- 6 Q. The person you've described as Robert Drew,
- 7 do you see him in the courtroom today?
- 8 **A.** I do.
- 9 Q. Please point to him and describe the clothing
- 10 he's wearing?
- 11 **A.** He's wearing a white shirt with black and
- 12 maybe green polo-type shirt sitting at the table
- 13 right near the TV screen.
- MR. BIGGERS: Your Honor, ask that the
- 15 record reflect that he has identified the defendant.
- 16  $\parallel$  THE COURT: The record will reflect it.
- 17 BY MR. BIGGERS:
- 18 Q. During that conversation did you ask Mr. Drew
- 19 about the KFC robbery?
- 20 **A.** I did.
- 21  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What, if any, information did she give you?
- 22  $\| \mathbf{A} \|$  He basically told me that he was never in
- 23 Memphis. And he basically had -- he was with
- 24 relatives of his, and, you know, I could verify that
- 25 | information with them.

- 1 Did you ask him about the clothing that he Q. 2 wore? 3 I did. I asked him about the jacket. And he 4 told me basically it was a -- a jacket that a lot of 5 people could possibly have and he bought it from the 6 Good Will or something that effect. So it's a very 7 common jacket basically how he put it. 8 That's what he told you? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Did that end your investigation on this case? 11 It did. Α. 12 Did you attempt to file state attempt robbery Q. 13 charges against the defendant? 14 I did not. I was -- upon request from ATF, 15 they advised me that they were trying to take the 16 case federal, so they asked me not to file state 17 charges on him. 18 MR. BIGGERS: Brief moment, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 MR. BIGGERS: No further questions at this 21 time, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 23 And is there cross?
- MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
- 25 Thank you.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. 1 2 CROSS EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: 4 Sergeant Cox? Q. 5 Yes, ma'am. Α. 6 Mary C. Robinson for Robert Drew. Q. 7 In your investigation of this matter, did 8 you, in fact, review the dispatch, I quess, from 9 when this -- when this robbery at Kentucky Fried 10 Chicken was originally called in? 11 Α. Did I review the dispatch? 12 Yes. Q. 13 Did you listen to it? 14 No, I didn't. Α. 15 Q. Did you look at the written summary? Of the dispatch? 16 Α. 17 Q. Yes. 18 No, I didn't. Are you aware that, originally that the 19 20 broadcast was for a man in a plaid jacket, but then 21 later it was corrected to a man in a bubble jacket? No, I'm not aware of that. 22 23 **Q**. Not aware of that. 24 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: No further 25 questions.

1	THE COURT: Any redirect?
2	MR. BIGGERS: No, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay, Sergeant Cox, thank you
4	very much. You can step down, you are excused.
5	(Witness excused.)
6	MR. BIGGERS: The government calls special
7	agent Brian Weaks.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	Okay. If you would, please you are
10	good right there.
11	Raise your right hand.
12	Do you solemnly swear or affirm, under the
13	penalties of perjury, the testimony that you are
14	about to provide the court and jury in the case now
15	on trial to be the truth, the whole truth and
16	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: Just have a seat right here,
19	please.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 BRIAN WEAKS, 2 was thereupon called as a witness on behalf of the 3 Plaintiff, and having been first duly sworn, 4 was examined and testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BIGGERS: 6 7 Good afternoon. Q. 8 How are you? Α. 9 Q. Well, how about yourself? 10 Well. Α. 11 Please state and spell your name for the Q. 12 record? Brian Weaks, B-r-i-a-n, W-e-a-k-s. 13 14 Special Agent Weaks, tell the ladies and 15 gentlemen where you're employed? 16 Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Α. 17 Explosives. 18 Specifically what position do you hold with Q. 19 ATF? 20 I'm a special agent. 21 How long have you been with ATF? Q. 22 Approximately 13 years. 23 Prior to coming to being employed with ATF, Q. 24 did you have any other law enforcement experience?

Yes. I was a police officer in

25

Α.

- Hendersonville, Tennessee for seven years.
- 2 Q. Any other?

- 3 A. No, that's all.
- 4 Q. In your role with ATF, specifically what --
- 5 | what are your duties as a special agent?
- 6 **A.** As a special agent my basic duties are to
- 7 | investigate violations of federal law, primarily
- 8 involving firearms, explosives and arsons.
- 9 Q. And have you had any specialized training
- 10 with regard to your unique position with ATF?
- 11 **A.** Specialized training in to what I'm going to
- 12 | testify today in the Basic Special Agent Academy
- 13 | that I went to we were taught basic nomenclature of
- 14  $\parallel$  firearms and the workings of different types of
- 15 firearms.
- 16 Later in my career I attended ATF's Advanced
- 17 Interstate Nexus School where we learned much more
- 18  $\parallel$  in-depth nomenclature of firearms, how to look at
- 20 to determine the origin and manufacturer of that
- 21 firearm.
- 22 **Q.** Special Agent Weaks, bear with me, you
- 23 said -- you used a lot of words that I'm not
- 24 | familiar with --
- 25 **A.** Okay, I'm sorry.

-- I'm not a firearm's expert. Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Nomenclature and interstate nexus, describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what interstate nexus is?

- Interstate nexus is a very -- it's a big word Α. for something that very basic, it's just anytime something travels across state lines, it then affects interstate nexus or interstate commerce.
- So when you talked about the training that you received to determine the interstate nexus of a 11 firearm, what specifically were you looking for?
  - We're looking for certain proof markings on the firearm that determine -- that show me where the firearm was manufactured. If a firearm was, in this case, recovered in Tennessee but was manufactured, in this case, Brazil, at that point, at some -- at some point not only crossed interstate lines, but also traveled in foreign commerce.
  - Now in this role with ATF, does it involve other duties that other ATF agents don't have?
- Yes, because I'm specially trained in 21 22 interstate nexus I look at the guns that are 23 involved in the crimes that our agents investigate 24 and determine whether they affect interstate 25 commerce.

1	Q. And have you previously testified in federal
2	or state court to this type of information?
3	A. Yes.
4	MR. BIGGERS: Your Honor at this time the
5	government offers Mr. Weaks as a witness with
6	specialized knowledge in the area of interstate
7	nexus.
8	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: No objection.
9	THE COURT: An opinion as an opinion
10	witness?
11	MR. BIGGERS: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: No objection.
14	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
15	Ladies and gentlemen, we will receive the
16	special agent as an expert or an opinion witness.
17	What that does is it allows the witness to
18	give his opinion about certain things that may be an
19	issue in the case.
20	I will have a further instruction for you
21	a little more detail when I give you the written
22	instructions at the end of the case. But some of
23	what he will testify to are his opinions based on
24	his experience and training.
25	You may proceed.

1 MR. BIGGERS: Thank you, Your Honor.

## BY MR. BIGGERS:

- 3 **Q.** Special Agent Weaks, specifically with regard
- 4 | to a case involving Robert Drew, were you asked to
- 5 do conduct an interstate nexus search on a firearm
- 6 related to that case.
- 7 **A.** Yes, I was.
- 8 Q. What type of firearm was it?
- 9 **|| A.** It was a Rossi Model 68 .38 caliber revolver.
- 10 **Q.** And have you had the opportunity to examine
- 11 the firearm in this case?
- 12 **A.** Yes, I have.
- 13 Q. Show you what's been marked and admitted as
- 14 Exhibit 12. Take a look at that.
- 15 You recognize it?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. What do you -- speak into the mic, please.
- 18 What do you recognize that to be?
- 19  $\| \mathbf{A} \|$  This is the firearm that I examined for this
- 20 case.
- 21  $\mathbf{Q}$ . How do you know that's the same firearm?
- 22 **A.** Due to the serial number of AA803198, the
- 23  $\parallel$  same serial number on the firearm that I examined.
- 24 | Q. Before you get into your actual examination,
- 25 describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury

- 1 | that actual firearm, it's appearance?
- 2 A. This is a, again, it's a Rossi .38 caliber
- 3 revolver snubnose, appears to have a blue finish on
- 4 it which just means it has a glossier high -- a high
- 5 gloss finish on it, it's still a black firearm but
- 6 | they put a bluing on it that causes it to have a
- 7 little bit higher gloss or shine.
- 8 Q. You used the term "snubnose," what do you
- 9 mean by that?
- 10 **A.** That just has a shorter barrel than some
- 11 other revolvers have.
- 12 Q. All right. Did you actually conduct an
- 13 | interstate nexus search on that firearm?
- 14 **A.** I did.
- 15 Q. Were you able to determine where it was
- 16 | manufactured?
- 17 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 18 **Q.** Where was it manufactured?
- 19 **A.** In Brazil.
- 20 **Q.** Were you able to determine from your
- 21 interstate nexus search how it came to be in the
- 22 United States?
- 23 **A.** That was imported by a company by the name of
- 24 | Interarms out of Alexandria, Virginia.
- 25 **Q.** All right. Now would it definitely be your

1	opinion that that exhibit, Exhibit 12, affected
2	or traveled in interstate commerce and that it was
3	recovered here in Memphis, Tennessee, here in the
4	State of Tennessee?
5	A. Yes. Not only did it affect interstate
6	commerce but it also affected foreign commerce.
7	Q. Thank you, Special Agent Weaks.
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	MR. BIGGERS: No further questions of this
10	witness at this time.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	Is there any cross?
13	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: No, no cross.
14	THE COURT: All right, Special Agent
15	Weaks, thank you very much. You can step down, you
16	are excused.
17	(Witness excused.)
18	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
19	MR. BIGGERS: Your Honor, at this time the
20	government has no further witnesses. However, I
21	would like to read into the record the stipulation
22	of the parties.
23	THE COURT: All right, stipulation of the
24	parties.
25	Why don't you go ahead and let me take

a look at it first and then mark it as an exhibit 1 2 and then you go ahead and present to it the jury. 3 Let me take a look. 4 (Document passed to the court.) 5 THE COURT: Okay, we will go ahead and mark the stipulation as Exhibit Number 33 in the 6 7 record, I believe that's the next number, 33? 8 THE CLERK: Yes, sir. 9 (Exhibit Number 33 was marked; 10 Description: Stipulation.) 11 THE COURT: And, ladies and gentlemen, a stipulation, and this is the way they present some 12 13 information to you, is an agreement between the 14 parties as to something things that are very common 15 and it saves us time in that additional witnesses are not necessary then to prove the information that 16 17 they're presenting to you by way of this stipulation 18 or agreement. 19 Again, in my written instructions to you 20 at the end of the case, I'll have a section in there 21 about stipulations and how you are to handle that. 22 Mr. Biggers, you may go ahead and proceed. 23 MR. BIGGERS: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 This is the stipulation of the parties in

the case of United States of America versus Robert

Drew.

Comes now the United States of America by and through it's counsel, Edward L. Stanton, III, the United States Attorney for the Western District of Tennessee, and David Biggers, Assistant United States, and the defendant, Robert Drew, by and through his counsel, Mary Jermann-Robinson, and agree and stipulate as follows:

Paragraph One. On December 7th, 2012, the Hickory Center Market, located at 3305 Highway 64, Eads, Tennessee, was in a business engaged in interstate commerce.

Paragraph Two. On December 7th, 2012, the Kentucky Fried Chicken Restaurant, located at 8995 Highway 64, Memphis, Tennessee, was then a business engaged in interstate commerce.

Paragraph Three. Prior to December 7th, 2012, Robert Drew had been previously convicted of a felony offense, that is, a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

Accordingly, the parties agree that it will not be necessary for the government to present such evidence to the jury as these facts can be considered proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

And it is signed by myself, David Biggers,

defense counsel Mary Catherine Jermann-Robinson, and the defendant, Robert Drew, and dated.

At this time the government asks the court to take judicial notice that both locations, the Hickory Center Market in Eads, Tennessee, as well as the Kentucky Fried Chicken located in Memphis, Tennessee, are within the Western District of Tennessee.

THE COURT: All right. I'll take judicial notice of both facts.

MR. BIGGERS: At this time the government rests, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, you heard the last information and the very final thing that Mr.

Biggers said was that the government rests its case at this time.

That signals to me that there are certain things that I have to take up with the lawyers. Some of the things that I have to take up are legal in nature and so I would have to -- I could take them up at side-bar, but we would be over here quite a while and y'all would be wondering what we're saying. Say that to say I'm getting ready to excuse you into the jury room. Why don't you all go ahead

and take your afternoon break at this time. 1 2 going to take me about, I'd say, 20 minutes, maybe 3 30 minute in order to get through the things with 4 the lawyers and get to the point where we are ready 5 to proceed. 6 Now remember my instructions, they are 7 still in effect, leave your notebooks in your hairs, 8 don't discuss the case, although you've heard the 9 government's case, and we will get back to you, like 10 I say, in about 20 or 30 minutes. 11 (Jury out at 2:23 p.m.) 12 THE COURT: Anyone need a break before we 13 proceed? 14 As I think you know there's a few things 15 that we need to take up at this time, motions, and then discussions about defense proof and things of 16 that nature. 17 18 I'm ready to proceed at this time unless 19 anyone needs a break. 20 MR. BIGGERS: The government does not need 21 a break before proceeding with those matters. 22 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I'm fine. 23 THE COURT: Why don't we start and I will

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor,

ask if there are any motions?

24

there are.

On behalf of Robert Drew I have two motions. One is to renew my filed motion to suppress that was denied by magistrate court and then that was agreed to or approved by this court, that was a motion to suppress the identification as being suggestive — suggestive. It was denied, I believe, because an identification of clothing, not of an individual.

But it seems very clear from the proof
that was brought forth today, that were substantive
identification of the clothing for the individual.
And this individual was brought in front of a police
car in handcuffs and a picture of him from the
chin -- oh, I'm sorry -- from the chin all the way
down was taken and given for identification purposes
and that was admitted into evidence, and actually
the photograph in evidence at this time, Your Honor.

And to say that is not suggestive, there are certainly many other ways, if clothing were at issue, they could have held him temporarily, removed his clothing, shown them to the victim. Those sorts of things could have been done.

It was done actually when the photographs, I suppose, were sent to, I don't know if they were

by e-mail or by mail, but sent from the Oakland Police Department to the Memphis Police Department, that would not be a suggestive, but we're talking about an actual person where you can see his -- you could see he's African-American, you can see that he is in custody, you can see that he is standing up against a police car, once of the witnesses even said that, but a police car.

Your Honor, I'm just renewing this motion, probably mostly for the record, but I can confirm that consider just being an identification of clothing, this is the entire government's case, that this clothing is the exact clothing that was on the person of Robert Drew.

And so I renew that motion at this time.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Yes.

I would like to make a general motion under Jackson versus Virginia to take this matter away from the jury and for a judgment of acquittal, the evidence is insufficient at this point to go to jury.

THE COURT: Okay, that do it, that's all?

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I usually say and

any other motions I need to renew, but I think

that's it.

THE COURT: All right, thanks.

Now let me hear from the government.

MR. BIGGERS: Your Honor, dealing with the defendant's first motion, renewing the motion to suppress, the government's response, there was not an identification of a defendant, a person in this particular matter. All the witnesses that came before the court and testified, specifically Mr. Harris, that's who we're talking about, testified that he was never shown a face of the suspect, he was shown clothing. And it was on a person's body, but his identification was based on the actual clothing.

He pointed out the specifics of that clothing, the coloring of the jacket, and the unique embalm on that jacket's pocket as being consistent with his recollection of the robbery.

Now for that, the government ask that their motion be denied.

With regard to the second motion, the government submits that it submitted enough -- presented enough proof to satisfy each and every element of all the charges in the five-count indictment, and that it should be submitted to the

jury.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything further, Ms. Robinson?

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

I'm going to have to overrule both of the motions.

The motion to suppress, I reviewed the transcript and the arguments, the report and recommendation was presented by the magistrate, and I reviewed that, too, all of the filings and I adopted the findings of the or rather the R and R of the magistrate.

The indication that there really wasn't an issue as far as identification. It wasn't a person involved, it was an article involved, that being the jacket.

It seems as though the motion today is that the jacket and the way it was worn by the defendant that night and then later presented to the witness who testified in court was too suggestive, I have to disagree with that.

It may have been a squad car that the defendant was standing in front of, but you really can't tell that. I think one of the offices said

that because he knew. I mean, it's not like there's flashing lights, emblem on the side Oakland police or anything like that, but even if there were, there's nothing overly suggestive about the whole taking of the picture of the defendant from the neck down eliminating his -- his head.

Ms. Robinson argues that he was in custody.

Well, his hands were behind him. In the picture you can't see the cuffs that I remember.

And correct me if I'm wrong on that, but the person standing with his hands behind his back clearly showing the jacket, along with the emblem or symbol or whatever it was on the jacket, and that's what the victim in the case identified — identified.

So there is, I mean, it shows what it shows, the jacket and a person is wearing it but it is not suggestive. And, therefore, there is no prejudice as far as or undue prejudice as far as the presentation of the jacket to the witness and ultimately to the jury.

And if those are the reasons for the objection at this time, I have to overrule them, there's just, you know, there's just nothing there.

Sufficiency of the evidence. Of course,

this is a jury case and there are facts that the jury is going to have to make the final decision.

As I think you both know, the big issue right now is identification, the defendant as the person who was wearing those items and attempted to do those robberies.

But when I review the evidence that was presented in the light most favorable to the government, I have to overrule the motion.

There is sufficient evidence to allow this to go to the jury.

This is a circumstantial evidence case clearly. There's no direct identification of the defendant as the person, but clearly the circumstances point to that, all of the clothing items that were later found on the defendant or close to where he was apprehended have been introduced. We have seen them on both of the videos.

I mean, there will be arguments about whether or not it's the same jacket and thereby whether or not it's the same person, things like that, but that all — that gives rise to jury questions ultimately that the jury is going to decide. Whether or not those clothing items that we

have in the courtroom now are the same clothing items that are on the videos, the jury will have to make that decision. Because the defendant was either wearing them or in close proximity to them when he was taken into custody. And, of course, the circumstances indicate that he was the person who had wore them just a few minutes after the attempt at the market in Oakland and, you know, sometime before, an hour or so, I don't know how long, at the KFC.

So clearly it's circumstantial evidence and clearly the jury is going to have to make the decision. But in the light most favorable to the government, our decision is that the jury are going to have to make, and so I'm going to have to overrule the motion at this time.

Let me turn now to the defense and ask about defense proof.

There's an indication earlier that there would be two witnesses. I just need to verify that and I also need to talk with you about the defendant and his decision.

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: That's correct,

Your Honor, I have two very short witnesses. And I

do not believe that Mr. Drew is going to testify.

THE COURT: All right. You ready to 1 2 proceed with that and put it on the record now. 3 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: We can do that, 4 Your Honor, I wasn't sure if you wanted me to voir 5 dire him. I have spoken with him, I'm confident that that's his decision, but I know that some 6 7 courts prefer to do it on the record. 8 THE COURT: I do. I always voir dire the -- the defendant just to make sure it's clear on 9 10 the record. 11 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Okay. 12 THE COURT: And so we can do that now 13 or -- we are going to take a break anyway, and we 14 can do it after the break. 15 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Why don't we do it after the break, I will speak to him one more time 16 17 to make sure that's decision. 18 THE COURT: All right, that sounds good. 19 And are you ready with your witnesses? 20 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I am. 21 here. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So we will take about 23 ten, 15 minutes and then we'll get the jury back in 24 after we speak with Mr. Drew and hopefully finish 25 the proof in the case. Okay.

1 All right. We'll be in recess. 2 THE CLERK: Court stands in recess. 3 (Recess at 2:32 p.m.) 4 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we go ahead 5 and proceed with Mr. Drew's decision about testifying. 6 7 I'm assuming it hasn't changed? 8 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: It has not changed, 9 Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. I would like for him to 11 come forward. 12 Come forward, Mr. Drew, if you would, 13 please. 14 I need to place you under oath and we just 15 have a couple of questions for you. 16 Okay, right there. 17 If you would, please, raise your right 18 hand. 19 Do you solemnly swear or affirm, under the 20 penalties of perjury, the testimony that you are 21 about to provide the court in this matter on trial 22 will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but 23 the truth, so help you God? 24 THE WITNESS: About the testimony? 25 THE COURT: Yes, that's right, be the

1	truth.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: Just have a seat right here if
4	you would.
5	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Your Honor, do you
6	want do you usually question them or do want me
7	to?
8	THE COURT: I will, but I would like for
9	you to go on and place on the record, you know, what
10	the state of the situation is.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 ROBERT DREW,

2 was thereupon called as a witness on behalf of the

3 Defendant, and having been first duly sworn,

was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON:

- 7 Q. I know you're Mr. Drew, but will you state
- 8 your name and spell it for the record.
- 9 A. My name is Robert Drew. R-o-b-e-r-t,
- 10 D-r-e-w.

4

5

- 11 | Q. And, Mr. Drew, I've been your lawyer since
- 12 you not charged over here in the federal system?
- 13 **A**. Yes, ma'am.
- 14  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  And we have together prepared for this trial?
- 15 **A**. Yes, ma'am.
- 16 **Q.** And you have looked at the discovery and then
- 17 | our investigation?
- 18 **A**. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 **Q.** And I shared that with you?
- 20 **A.** Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. We discussed the case?
- 22 **A.** Yes, ma'am.
- 23  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And we discussed that you have a right to
- 24 | testify --
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. -- if you would like and tell the jury your
- 2 side?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Also discussed the problems with that, that
- 5 you have a record that the jury will never hear
- 6 about if you don't testify, but, if you do, then
- 7 your entire record within the last 10 years would
- 8 come in?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 **Q.** And based on our discussions have you come up
- 11 with a decision as to whether or not you would like
- 12 to testify?
- 13 **A.** Yes, I have.
- 14 | Q. And what is that decision?
- 15 **A.** That decision, I will not testify due to the
- 16 | facts of my past.
- 17 **Q.** And are you certain about this?
- 18 **A.** Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q. Do you have any questions about your rights?
- 20 **A.** Do I have any questions?
- 21 **Q.** Any questions about your rights to testify or
- 22 | not to testify?
- 23 **A.** I understood that -- that clearly that if I
- 24 | testify that you bring my past record up, and I
- 25 chose not due to the fact that this here is

- 1 something that I don't want to do.
- 2 **Q.** Okay.
- 3 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: All right, thank
- 4 you, sir.
- 5 BY THE COURT:
- 6 Q. Now you're making this decision on your own,
- 7 your own free will.
- 8 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And you made the decision after conferring
- 10 with your lawyers?
- 11 **A.** I had already made the decision and my
- 12 | lawyer, I talked it over with her when she brought
- 13 me the paperwork to sign. And I made, really I made
- 14  $\parallel$  my own decision that I wasn't going to do that.
- 15 Q. Okay. And that's your absolute right. And I
- 16 | think you know I will instruct the jury that they
- 17 | can't hold that against you, that you are going to
- 18 be silent, do you understand that?
- 19 **A.** I hope so, Your Honor.
- 20 Q. On the other hand, if you decided that you
- 21 wanted to testify, you know, it would be your right
- 22 | to testify and you could tell the jury in our own
- 23 words what happened.
- But I think you decided that you don't want
- 25 to do that, is that right?

1 I want my attorney to tell them what Α. 2 happened. 3 Okay. And so she will argue that for you 4 after all the proof is in? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 But you don't want to tell them anything --Q. 7 Α. No, I don't. 8 -- because of the fear of your criminal 9 record? 10 I want my attorney to. 11 And I think you made it clear, you made that 12 decision? 13 I made that decision, Your Honor. 14 All right. There is no force or coercion or 15 anything like that, you are doing this of our own free will? 16 17 Yes, sir. Α. 18 Okay, thank you. 19 THE COURT: You can step down. 20 (Witness excused.) 21 THE COURT: Okay. Are you ready as far as 22 your witnesses are concerned? 23 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Why don't we get all of the

proof out of the way and then we will start talking

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

409

about jury charge. I'm starting to work on it back there. And obviously I'll meet with both sides before we get the final instruction together. Let's go ahead and deal with the proof. And so why don't you bring in the jury, please. (Jury present at 3:00 p.m.) THE COURT: Okay, folks, we're ready to pick it up and move forward at this time. I think just prior to the break the government indicated they rest their case and so we are going to turn to the defense now and see if there will be any proof. Ms. Robinson, Mr. Germany, will there be any proof from the defense? MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to call Mr. Marvin Ponder. THE COURT: Marvin Ponder, okay. Come forward, sir. Right up this way. Okay, you are good right there. Raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm, under the penalties of perjury, the testimony that you are about to provide the court and jury in the case now

on trial to be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Have a seat right here if you would, please. MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you, Your Honor? THE COURT: Uh-huh. 

1 MARVIN PENDER, 2 was thereupon called as a witness on behalf of the 3 Defendant, and having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 4 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: 7 Will you state your name, and please spell it 8 for the court reporter. 9 Yes, ma'am. It's Marvin Pender, M-a-r-v-i-n, 10 P-e-n-d-e-r. 11 And who do you work for? Q. 12 The Memphis Police Department. Α. 13 And what do you do? Q. 14 I am a communication supervisor. 15 And as a communication supervisor, would you Q. 16 explain for the jury a little bit about what you do? 17 My daily tasks include dealing with the 18 director, chiefs, overseeing over a hundred 19 dispatchers, making tapes, audio request for court, 20 and print a chronology, things like that. 21 Okay. And, if you would, explain a little Q. 22 bit about the dispatch process. 23 Does that entail communications between 24 officers and, I guess, the communications 25 department?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am. Basically our dispatch works,
- 2 our dispatchers, they stay in communications with
- 3 the citizens when they call in on 9-1-1 or the
- 4 non-emergency line. And we also have dispatchers
- 5 who talk to the officers out in the field as well,
- 6 like a life-line between the two.
- 7 **Q.** Okay. And are those communications recorded?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 **Q.** Okay. And are they timestamped?
- 10 **A**. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. Okay. So would it be safe to say that you
- 12 can tell what time an officer arrives at a scene,
- 13 | for example?
- 14 **A**. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. Okay. Maybe when he leaves the scene?
- 16 **A**. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 **Q.** Maybe when they find evidence, things of that
- 18 | nature?
- 19 **A.** Yes, ma'am, everything is documented.
- 20 **Q.** Okay. And in the course of your job and in
- 21 your employment, did you receive a request from my
- 22 | office for information concerning communications on
- 23 a robbery at -- in -- I'm sorry -- in Lakeland,
- 24 Tennessee at about 9:30 p.m. at the Kentucky Fried
- 25 Chicken at, I believe it's 8895 Highway 64?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 2 | Q. Okay. And could you tell me what time that
- 3 event began or can you tell that from, I guess from
- 4 the dispatch event chronology?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am. The actual call was received at
- 6 2140 hours which is 9:30 p.m.
- 7 **Q.** 9:40 p.m.?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. And can you tell from that chronology how you
- 10 | all received that information?
- 11 **A.** Yes, ma'am. Looks like an employee called in
- 12 on 9-1-1.
- 13 Q. Okay. An employee of the store or does it
- 14 | just say employee?
- 15 **A.** Employee from the KFC.
- 16 **Q.** Okay. And that's what began this event?
- 17 **A**. Yes, ma'am.
- 18  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  Okay. And does that chronology, does it
- 20 and communications throughout this investigation?
- 21 **A.** Yes, ma'am, it does.
- 22 **Q.** Okay. Can you tell me when the last
- 23 communication was?
- 24 **A.** The last communication between officer and
- 25 dispatcher was at 2315 which is 11:15 p.m.

Okay. And is that record kept in the usual 1 Q. 2 course of your business as being the communications 3 supervisor? 4 Yes, ma'am, it is. 5 And are you under a duty to keep that record? Q. Yes, ma'am. 6 Α. 7 And, again, does it accurately reflect what 8 was requested, the events from -- I'm sorry -- the 9 communications from that robbery on December the 10 7th, 2012? 11 Yes, ma'am. 12 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Like to have 13 that -- offer that as the next exhibit, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Any objection? 15 MR. BIGGERS: Without objection, Your Honor, just attempted robbery and I believe it's 16 17 8995, I'm not sure. 18 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I thank him for the 19 correction, he's right. 20 THE COURT: All right. There is no objection, I believe to the --21 22 MR. BIGGERS: No objection, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: -- introduction. 24 And we will go ahead and admit the 25 documents.

1 How many documents do we have there. 2 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: It's one document but I believe it's three or four pages. 3 4 THE COURT: That's what I mean, pages. MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Three pages. 5 6 THE COURT: Three-page document. And that 7 will be Exhibit 34. 8 THE CLERK: Thirty-four. Thirty-four. 9 THE COURT: 10 (Exhibit Number 34 was marked; 11 Description: Chronology.) 12 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you. 13 Your Honor, may I have one moment? 14 THE COURT: Go ahead. 15 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: 17 18 I will return that document to you. 19 In looking at that document, at the hour of 20 2203:18, can you tell if there was a report about a 2.1 description of clothing of the suspect? 22 What was the timeframe again? Α. 23 Q. I think it's 2203:18. 24 Α. Yes, ma'am. 25 Okay. Could you tell if it -- can you tell

me what that description is? 1 2 A male black wearing a blue bubble coat, blue 3 hoody and blue jeans. 4 Okay. Does it make any indication there that 5 that was a correction from any earlier broadcast? 6 If you can tell. 7 Looks like it was a correction from a 8 broadcast that was at 2149 which was at 9:49 they 9 put out a broadcast on a male black wearing a 10 flannel brown plaid with a blue ski mask. 11 Okay. So the later broadcast was the one Q. 12 that called it a bubble coat? 13 Yes, ma'am. 14 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: No further 15 questions. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 And is there any cross? 18 MR. BIGGERS: Yes, Your Honor. 19 CROSS EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. BIGGERS: 21 Mr. Pender? Q. 22 Yes, sir, Pender. 23 My name is David Biggers, how you doing this

24

25

Α.

afternoon?

Fine, sir.

- 1 Q. A few questions.
- 2 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 3 **Q.** Going through that note that defense counsel
- 4 just asked you about, reference a bubble coat, what
- 5 about that makes you say it's a correction?
- 6 **A.** It says additional to the broadcast which
- 7 means the officer on the scene received additional
- 8 information on the description.
- 9 Q. Does that necessarily mean that it's an
- 10 | actual correction?
- 11 A. No, sir, just says additional information.
- 12 **Q.** And you never went to the scene of this
- 13 offense, did you?
- 14 **A.** No, sir, I did not.
- 15 **Q.** You have no personal knowledge as to what
- 16 information was provided to anyone on that scene, do
- 17 you?
- 18 **A.** Not on the scene, no, sir.
- 19  $\| \mathbf{Q} \|$  In fact, dealing with that particular comment
- 20 | that you're referencing, do you have any idea as to
- 21 how that information was received?
- 22 **A.** No, sir.
- 23  $\mathbf{Q}$ . So you don't know who it came from?
- 24 **A.** Only -- well, the only thing I know is it
- 25 came from the dispatcher, the DP08 and she

- 1 documented the information.
- 2 Q. But you don't know who -- I guess who advised
- 3 any law enforcement officer of that description
- 4 | bubble coat.
- 5 A. No, sir. No, sir.
- 6  $\|Q$ . All right. Go back to the first page.
- 7 | Timestamped 2141:12.
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 **A**. Yes, sir.
- 10 **Q.** What's given in that particular spot?
- 11 **A.** This the -- looks like this is the original
- 12 description that was given at the time.
- 13  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What is that?
- 14  $\| \mathbf{A} \|$  It says a male black, tall, thin build,
- 15 wearing a blue ski mask, brown plaid jacket, unknown
- 16 pants.
- 17 **Q.** The next event comment, does it detail which
- 18 direction the robbery suspect left?
- 19 **A.** Yes, sir, it says last scene westbound on 64
- 20 on foot.
- 21  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Is there a name of anyone mentioned in the
- 22 | following comments?
- 23 **A.** No, sir.
- 24  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Look at the two --
- 25 **A.** Oh, the -- well, at 2141 you've got the name

- 1 of the individual that called from the business,
- 2 Jesse Baker, the employee.
- 3  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Go to the next page for me.
- 4 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 5 **Q**. 2149:34.
- 6 **A**. Yes, sir.
- 7 **Q.** See another event comment?
- 8 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 9  $\mathbf{Q}$ . What is that?
- 10  $\mathbf{A}$ . This is an update from the officer 827 Delta,
- 11 he's putting out an actual broadcast that he
- 12 received, he's saying attempted armed robbery of the
- 13 | business response was a male black, six-two, 210
- 14 pounds wearing a blue ski mask. Says flannel brown
- 15 plaid along with a silver slug revolver.
- 16  $\mathbf{Q}$ . So there are two comments based on the
- 17 dispatch log giving that description of a blue mask
- 18  $\parallel$  and a flannel or plaid jacket, is that correct?
- 19 **A**. Yes, sir.
- 20  $\blacksquare$  **O**. And this is the additional comment of a
- 21 revolver is that correct, a silver revolver?
- 22 **A.** That is correct.
- MR. BIGGERS: No further questions at this
- 24 | time, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

1	Any redirect?
2	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: May I do it from
3	here, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON:
7	Q. The last description that is shown on that
8	dispatch, on that summary, I suppose, describes a
9	bubble jacket, is that correct?
10	A. That is correct.
11	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Nothing further.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	Any recross?
14	MR. BIGGERS: No, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pender, thank
16	you very much for coming down. You may step down,
17	you are excused.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
19	Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
21	(Witness excused.)
22	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
23	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you, Your
24	Honor.
25	I'd like to call Carol Ann Mason.

1	THE COURT: Come forward, right upfront
2	here.
3	Okay. Right there.
4	Raise your right hand.
5	Do you solemnly swear or affirm, under the
6	penalties of perjury, the testimony that you are
7	about to provide the court and jury in the case now
8	on trial to be the truth, the whole truth and
9	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Have a seat right over here,
12	please.
13	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: May I proceed, Your
14	Honor?
15	THE COURT: Go ahead.
16	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 CAROL ANN MASON,

2 was thereupon called as a witness on behalf of the

3 Defendant, and having been first duly sworn,

was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON:

4

5

- 7 **Q.** Would you state your name for the record and 8 spell it for the court reporter.
- 9 A. Carol Ann Mason, Carol Ann Mason.
- 10 **Q.** And with whom are you employed?
- 11  $\blacksquare$  A. I'm the 9-1-1 Director for Fayette County.
- 12 Q. Okay. And in that position, could you tell
- 13 | the jury what you do for a living?
- 14  $\mathbf{A}$ . I'm in charge of the 9-1-1 Center, we do all
- 15 the addressing for the county. Also, Custodian of
- 16 the Records for our dispatch center, all the 9-1-1
- 17 calls, phone calls, radio traffic.
- 18 **Q.** And were you in -- working in that capacity
- 19 | in December of 2012?
- 20 **A.** Yes, I was.
- 21  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Okay. And in working in that capacity now,
- 22 did you receive a request from my office for a
- 23 dispatch or communications with reference to a
- 24 robbery that occurred December 7th, 2012, at the
- 25 Hickory Center Market?

A. Yes.

1

- 2 Q. Okay. And did you, as a result of -- well,
- 3 let me ask you this.
- 4 In dispatch in Fayette County are there
- 5 recordings made of communications between police
- 6 officers and the dispatch office?
- 7 **| A**. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And are those recorded?
  - **A.** Our radio traffic is recorded.
- 10  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And are they -- are they timestamped? Are
- 11 | they -- there's a date and a time?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. And it's done in real time?
- 14 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 15  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Okay. And then a report, a written report is
- 16 generated or can be generated and that would pretty
- 17 | much tell you all the communications between the
- 18  $\parallel$  officers, in between the officers and dispatch that
- 19 were recorded?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And do you have such a report for that event
- 22 on December the 7th, 2012?
- 23 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 24 **Q.** And can you tell me the time that you were
- 25 | first notified, the dispatch was first notified

about this robbery? 1 2 At 2216. 3 Q. Okay. And what time is that? 4 10:16. Α. 5 Q. Sixteen. 6 P.m. Α. 7 **Q**. Okay. 8 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: No further 9 questions. 10 THE COURT: All right. Will there be any 11 cross. 12 MR. BIGGERS: Yes, Your Honor. 13 CROSS EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. BIGGERS: 15 Good afternoon, Ms. Mason. Q. 16 Good afternoon. 17 Q. My name is David Biggers, I'm with the United 18 States Attorney's office, I have a few questions for 19 you. 20 Now you briefly described your duties with 21 Fayette County. What type of information would 22 dispatch be responsible for documenting or keeping 23 up with on any particular call? 24 Any call that comes in from a police officer

or a 9-1-1 call or admin call if it's an emergency

- 1 or someone needing assistance.
- 2 Q. And I believe you testified to the time of --
- 3 | that the call came in for an attempted robbery of
- 4 | the Hickory Center Market, is that correct?
- 5 **A.** Correct.
- 6 Q. And your testimony was that that time was
- 7 2216?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9  $\mathbf{Q}$ . That's 10:16 p.m., is that correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 **Q.** Do you know if the law enforcement was
- 12 | notified at any point?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 | Q. Which -- what law enforcement agency was
- 15 notified?
- 16 **A.** Oakland Police Department.
- 17 **Q.** What time were they notified?
- 18 **A.** They were notified at 2216:59.
- 19  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And in non-military time, what time is that?
- 20 **A**. 10:16:59.
- 21 **Q.** One second from 10:17?
- 22  $\blacksquare$  A. The call came in at 2216:16, okay, so we get
- 23 down to tenths of seconds.
- 24 **Q.** Okay. So --
- 25 **A.** And then Oakland PD was dispatched at

- 1 2216:59.
- 2  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Which is the equivalent of 10:16:59?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 **Q.** Do you show when Oakland police arrived on
- 5 the scene of the Hickory Center Market?
- 6 **A**. 2217:07.
- 7 | Q. We're talking about a matter of seconds?
- 8 **A.** Correct.
- 9 **Q.** Is that 10:17:07?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 **Q.** Do you show if anyone was taken in custody in
- 12 relation to this attempted robbery at the Hickory
- 13 Center Market?
- 14 **| A.** Dispatch was advised they had someone in
- 15 custody at 2220:59.
- 16 **Q.** What time is that?
- 17 **A**. 10:20:59.
- 18 **Q.** 10:20.
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The call came out at roughly 10:16 --
- 21 **A.** 16, uh-huh.
- 22  $\mathbf{Q}$ . And by 10:20 someone was already in custody,
- 23 | is that correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Now do you show -- if any evidence was

collected, would dispatch keep track of that? 1 2 If they were advised by the officer. 3 In this particular case do you know if any of the officers with the Oakland Police Department 4 5 notified dispatch the recovery of a Rossi .38 caliber firearm? 6 7 They advised they had a weapon at 2252:21, so 8 10:52. 9 Q. 10:52? 10 Α. Correct. 11 So that's approximately 30 minutes after they 12 advised they had a subject in custody? 13 Correct. 14 MR. BIGGERS: No further questions, Your 15 Honor. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Any redirect? 18 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Just two questions, 19 Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: 23 **Q**. In looking at your report. 24 Do you show that they were advised that any 25 other property was found by these officers besides

- 1 | the weapon?
- 2 A. Not that I see.
- 3 Q. Take your time?
- 4 **A.** Dispatch advised that nothing was taken from
- 5 the store.
- 6 Q. As you -- as you look through you don't see
- 7 whether they recovered a mask or any gloves, do you?
- 8 **A.** No.
- 9 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you.
- 10 No further questions.
- 11 | THE COURT: And recross based on that?
- 12 MR. BIGGERS: Yes, Your Honor.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 14 BY MR. BIGGERS:
- 15 Q. Ms. Mason, based on the dispatch report, can
- 16 you tell what area law enforcement officers were
- 17 searching?

- 18 Direct your attention specifically to
- 19 2219:48.
- 20 **A.** They advised that he would be at Highway 196
- 21 northbound on foot.
- 22 **Q.** And what time was that?
- 23 **A.** That was at 2219:48.
- 24 **Q.** or 10:19:48?
- 25 **| A.** Uh-huh.

1	MR. BIGGERS: No further questions Your
2	Honor?
3	THE COURT: Okay. All right, Ms. Mason,
4	thank you very much, you can step down, you are
5	excused.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Oh, I do have one question I
8	didn't get, you were going through all of those
9	numbers.
10	And the time that the police arrived at
11	the scene, you gave that but I missed it.
12	THE WITNESS: 2217:07.
13	THE COURT: That's 10:17
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: '07?
16	All right, thank you.
17	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
18	(Witness excused.)
19	THE COURT: All right. If you would,
20	please, call your next witness.
21	MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: The defense rests.
22	THE COURT: All right, thank you,
23	Ms. Robinson.
24	Will there be any rebuttal?
25	MR. BIGGERS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you heard from Ms. Robinson that the defense rest, they have no additional proof. And also from the government there will be no rebuttal proof. And so you've heard all the proof that you are going to hear in this case as far as making a final decision.

The next step in the whole process for you will be the closing arguments of counsel and finally after all the arguments are done, the instruction — the final instructions of law that I'm working on back in chambers, but we haven't got to that point yet.

Now that all of the proof is over, I'm going to have to ask you to step into the jury room for a short time. I will talk with the lawyers about how we are going to advance and deal with those last steps this afternoon, whether you will go home a little early or whether we will be able to go ahead with arguments and hopefully charging this afternoon.

But at this point you will need to step into the jury room. And remember my instructions, leave the notebooks and don't discuss, get back to you and with a decision of how we are going to move

as far as you are concerned in just a few minutes. 1 2 (Jury out at 3:14 p.m.) 3 THE COURT: As I said, I've been working 4 on a draft of the instructions back there. 5 Are there any special requests from either side that I should include? 6 7 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I do have a special 8 request, it has nothing to do with jury 9 instructions, but I've written myself six notes. 10 I would like to now, again, at the end of 11 my proof --12 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 13 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: -- to renew my 14 motion, Jackson versus Virginia, because I don't 15 want to get caught --16 THE COURT: I should have asked you if 17 there were any additional motions. 18 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I renew the motion 21 to suppress as well. I understand there is no 22 further proof on that, but for the record --23 THE COURT: Yes, I understand. 24 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: -- I object under 25 Jackson versus Virginia for judgment of acquittal.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. 1 2 On both of the motions I have to overrule 3 them, the same reasons that I gave before. Okay. 4 Anything else as far as the instructions 5 are concerned? 6 Seems like it's pretty straightforward, 7 identification is in there, opinion witness, 8 stipulation, judicial notice, I mean just the usuals. 9 10 And then, of course, the offenses and I 11 will have verdict forms attached to the 12 instructions. Okay. 13 Unless there is anything, I will go and 14 see if I can get those finished. I'm pretty close 15 back there, I'm still fooling around with language 16 for the actual robbery, attempt robbery offenses. 17 MR. BIGGERS: Only two, I quess two 18 special requests from the government, Your Honor. 19 I would ask that the actual verdict form 20 indicate brandished on there in this particular 21 case. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. BIGGERS: And secondly, dealing with

the instructions themselves, I would ask that the

court instruct, give the pattern jury instruction on

24

flight based on the fact was found hidden in the 1 2 woods within 2,000 feet of the business, attempt 3 robbery. 4 THE COURT: The defense position on 5 flight? 6 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Your Honor, I'd 7 think the proof has shown that the defendant, Mr. 8 Drew, was found in the woods. 9 The question is whether or not there was 10 flight at all. And I don't think it's an 11 appropriate instruction to give. 12 But I will submit it to the court. 13 THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look 14 at it and the language of the flight instruction, 15 I'll you all know that shortly. Okay. 16 All right. 17 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you, Your 18 Honor. 19 THE COURT: We'll be in recess. 20 The court stands in recess. 21 (Recess at 3:24 p.m.) 22 THE COURT: Sorry it took me so long to 23 get the instructions together. 24 I just had difficulty with a couple of the 25 charges, the proper language and then putting

together the verdict forms. I'm sure you all will have some comments about it.

Let me start first with the government, see if there are any request for changes, deletions or additions, things of that nature.

MR. BIGGERS: Yes, Your Honor.

First, just jumping to the verdict form.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BIGGERS: This is, I would say for me a relatively new issue, but the way the court has it, Mr. Herrin already told us about the reordering of the counts on the verdict form.

THE COURT: Well, there was an error on that, I think three and four were transposed, I got mine done and you all got corrected copies obviously for verdict.

MR. BIGGERS: Referring to counts, I guess it would be two and five, dealing with the actual carrying, use, carrying and brandished.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BIGGERS: Just out of an abundance of caution the government would ask, I guess, that the form be modified in a way to allow the jurors to select whether they found that he used and carried it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I started to do that and then it complicated it in such a way that I decided to put them together, but I can do that, I can -- I can you know, if you are carrying it, I know there's a difference, but you have to carry it in order to brandish it, but brandish is a little further out there as far as the use of it during the event. But I can break them out and have a -have, you know, separate which would result in probably two decisions that I have to make in the verdict form, I can do that. MR. BIGGERS: That's one thing, Your Honor. And the second, I see now is dealing with the stipulations. Just a second. THE CLERK: Do you want the defendant in here? THE COURT: We have to start over, the defendant is not here. He needs to be here. We have to start over when he gets here. I just didn't even notice. THE CLERK: (On the phone) Can you bring Drew down, please? Thank you.

Let's stand in recess. THE COURT: 1 2 THE CLERK: Court stands in recess. 3 (Recess at 5:39 p.m.) (Defendant is now present at 5:42 p.m.) 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Let's come back on the record. 6 7 Mr. Drew, I'm sorry, we had started talking about the jury charge, what I'm going to 8 9 read to the jury. And then my clerk, Mr. Herrin, here noticed that you weren't here, so I stopped. 10 11 And then, you know, this is your trial, you know, 12 and you need and it's your right to be here 13 throughout every step of it. 14 So we're going to backup and start over 15 again with this discussion and make sure you are 16 present for everything. Okay. 17 MR. ROBERT DREW: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: All right. Now we'll back and 19 the first thing I think we spoke about, 20 Mr. Biggers -- I first asked if both sides had enough time to take a look at the proposed charge. 21 22 And then we had indicated that a couple of 23 the charges need to be reversed, that three and four 24 needed to be changed over. I think the -- my 25 secretary has already done that.

And the next thing was talking about counts two and five of the charge and that language carrying and brandishing.

Why don't you go ahead and make the record again on that.

MR. BIGGERS: Yes, Your Honor.

The government, just out of an abundance of caution, based on the way the law, the courts have come back on that, the government would ask that the court include two separate spaces for the jury to decide if the defendant merely used and carried or used, carried and brandish for them to check those boxes.

THE COURT: All right. And I'll make those -- those change -- well, let me hear from the defense first on that issue.

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I think he's right.

THE COURT: All right. I will make that change and you all will be able to see that prior to us getting into argument and charge. I'll make the change in both counts.

MR. BIGGERS: On page six, Your Honor, the judicial notice portion, it only list Memphis,

Tennessee, the fact that it adds Eads, Tennessee as that is the location of the Hickory Center Market as

listed in the indictment.

THE COURT: Actually that change didn't get to my secretary. I will make the change, but I wasn't going to say Memphis, Tennessee or Eads,

Tennessee. I think the request for judicial notice was that the market and KFC were within the Western District of Tennessee and that's how it will read.

Like I say, that page, I must not have given it to my secretary, but that change will be made.

MR. BIGGERS: All right. Beginning on page ten, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BIGGERS: The detailed instruction on the element of affecting interstate commerce with regard to the attempted robbery count, and I apologize if I'm missing it, but it does not mention the stipulation between the parties at all as the stipulation is mentioned for the prior felonies on page 14. Just ask that the court include some language relating to the stipulation of the parties, that we made it an exhibit, that both parties stipulate at the time of this incident both stores were engaged, that the businesses were engaged in interstate commerce.

THE COURT: I should have been more vigilant communicating with my secretary because there is an instruction that I always include about stipulations, a separate instruction about the stipulation and what it involved.

Now do you need it on page ten? Because I was going to have a separate instruction pertaining to stipulation and it will go right after judicial notice.

MR. BIGGERS: Well, I would have to see
it, Your Honor, I just made that comment and
recommendation at page ten --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BIGGERS: -- because of the way it's included at page 14 dealing with the felony. You said the parties have stipulated that the defendant was convicted of a crime and you also good on and say I instruct you in this connection the prior conviction is an element. The government does not have to present any proof of that, that's the only reason why I said at page ten.

But depending on how the stipulation language reads after judicial notice, that could be satisfactory to the government as well.

THE COURT: Okay, I can do that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only other potential MR. BIGGERS: difference, Your Honor, would be to correspond with the language in the verdict form where you discuss counts two and five where you mention the use, carrying or brandishing. The use, carrying and -and/or brandishing, just depending on the way the verdict form appears. That's all, that's it from the government. THE COURT: Are you asking that it be "and?" MR. BIGGERS: And/or. THE COURT: And/or. MR. BIGGERS: It just depend on what they -- what they find, Your Honor. THE COURT: And you are making reference to the verdict form, is that correct? MR. BIGGERS: No. The language in the actual review of the elements, that's on page 11. THE COURT: Okay. Uh-huh. MR. BIGGERS: Element two, the use, carrying or brandishing of the firearm was during and in relation to the crime charged in Count One, the use, carrying and/or brandishing of the firearm --THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BIGGERS: -- in relation to Count One. 1 2 THE COURT: Not a problem. 3 MR. BIGGERS: The same with regard to 4 Count Five or Count Four. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Now let me hear from the defense. 6 7 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Your Honor, page 8 six under identification. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Because one of the 11 issues is the identification of the defendant as the 12 person who committed the crime, and maybe I'm 13 calling it wrong, but it appears to me that there is 14 identification of clothing and identification of 15 clothing being the same clothing that was worn by the person doing the crime, but there was never an 16 17 actual identification of the defendant except for, 18 you know, you've seen the picture of Mr. Drew and 19 now you see him here, can you identify him. 20 don't think that's what that's referring to. 21 not sure if identification is properly -- should be 22 properly charged. 23 THE COURT: You think I should not include 24 a definition -- an instruction on identification? 25 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: That's right, Your

Honor.

THE COURT: The government.

MR. BIGGERS: Your Honor, the government would submit that identification instruction is necessary in this case under the circumstances, and so the wording of it, the identification of the defendant, specifically when you get to some of the elements here, the witness' ability to identify the defendant, I mean, some of that can be altered because we are talking about clothes, but outside of that the government submits that the identification instruction should be given.

THE COURT: Ms. Robinson, how can -that's an element of the offense that they have to
prove that the defendant committed the crime.

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: The word identification, I guess, it bothered me because we already went through a motion to suppress and saying, well, there was no identification of the defendant. There was an identification of clothing. And it seems not right with the facts that have been brought in by the testimony, Your Honor.

I understand generally there is --

THE COURT: I've never heard a defense lawyer make that request that I remove

identification.

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I've never -- I've never made the request either, Your Honor, I hesitate to do so, it's just factually speaking, it seems to imply there was an identification made of him and there wasn't.

But I will just leave it at that. I don't have any case law to backup my objection.

THE COURT: I'm going to have to overrule that objection. I -- I just think that that's an element of the offense. And regardless of defense motion, this goes to the Court of Appeals, I don't give an identification, that goes against much of the instructions that the government's burden is to prove that the defendant committed the crimes.

And so I'm going to have to overrule that.

Now I may play with the language, I guess as both of you all are saying, and I will read it again this evening, and if I make any changes to it, I will obviously let you all know. But removing identification as, you know, one of the instructions, I'm -- I'm not going to do that.

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

The only other thing that I have is on

page 14.

THE COURT: 14?

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Yes.

And this has to do with the 922(g) charge, looks like you have charged both actual and constructive possession. It appears to me that the proof from the government is that he was actually in possession, that he had it, that he used it, that he carried it, that he stuck it under some leaves. I don't think there is proof of constructive possession.

And so I would ask the court to strike paragraph -- full paragraphs one, two, three, four, about five lines down, it said, did the defendant, and I would strike either, I'd just say the defendant had actual possession of the firearm and then strike or that he had the power and intention to exercise control over it even though it is not in his physical possession, strike that and then leave you may find the government has proven possession.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Under United States versus James and a case actually that I tried,
United States versus Albert Smith, it was found to be error, although in my case it was harmless, and

in James it was harmful error to give the constructive possession charge when the evidence put on by the government in the proof was purely had actual possession.

THE COURT: The government.

MR. BIGGERS: Your Honor, the government submits that language, as it is included in the current instruction, is appropriate in this case. Even by the defense counsel's recounting of the government's case, the defendant was in actual possession of the firearm during the time that both attempted robberies, however, when the firearm was recovered, it was hidden in the leaves, that's constructive possession in the area where the defendant left. The government submits that both are appropriate in this case.

THE COURT: I think I'm to overrule the objection and leave the language in there, and it's for that very reason, that during the actual videos showing the crimes, he was in actual possession of the firearm. But at the time that he was taken into custody, it was not on him, he did not have actual possession.

And, in fact, it took the officers another 20 or 30 minutes to locate the firearm in the area

immediately there by in the leaves where the defendant was found.

And so, if we didn't have that testimony, then it would be different, but in light -- in light of the officer's testimony when he was actually taken into custody and it wasn't in his actual possession. And I'm -- I'm going to overrule the request and leave the language there.

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: That's all I have,
Your Honor.

The instructions are fine other than that.

THE COURT: All right. So let me just go through and make sure that I get all the changes that need to be made.

I need to include first in the larger or the general instructions the stipulation that I normally put. And that will be either before or after judicial notice. I am going to modify or charge what we have here as far as judicial notice because actually went into more detail than the cities, it was the -- each of the businesses were within the Western District of Tennessee.

And then, again a reference to the stipulation on page ten. And that was the stipulation dealing with the --

1 It was on page ten you wanted that added, 2 wasn't it? 3 MR. BIGGERS: Yes, Your Honor, where you 4 discuss the potential affect on commerce between two 5 or more --6 THE COURT: Yeah, commerce, that's what it 7 was, yeah, towards the bottom. 8 And I will add that language there to 9 clean that up. 10 Then also Count Two and five where we have 11 carried or brandished, it will be changed to carry 12 and/or brandished. 13 I needed to double-check with the defense, 14 the request that the government made, whether there 15 is any objection to that? 16 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: No objection, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. And then finally the 19 verdict form, I'm going to break out in someway the 20 carrying, use and carrying of the firearm and then 21 breakout brandishing so that the jury can make a

Okay. Those are the things that I have right now. If there any others, let me know now.

separate finding in that regard in reference to

counts two and five of the verdict forms.

22

23

24

25

MR. BIGGERS: One small one from the government, Your Honor, very small.

On page two --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BIGGERS: -- the paragraph beginning at statements, arguments and remarks, the last sentence of that paragraph there, do not let rumors, suspensions or anything else that you may have seen or heard outside of court influence your decision in any way.

THE COURT: Okay.

The preceding sentence and the following sentence made reference to evidence. The government would just ask that the word "evidence" be transposed for "court" in that sentence or to read, do not let rumors, suspensions or anything else that you may have seen or heard outside of the evidence to influence your decision in any way.

The following sentence says, the evidence in the case includes, and the previous sentence says make a decision based solely on -- based only on the evidence. Make sure it is consistent with the theme there.

THE COURT: I'm going to leave it the way it is. I think it is fine the way it is. I make

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

several references throughout this what the evidence is and what they should consider. So I think that's actually pretty clear, it is not going to confuse the jury or anything. MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I agree. THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else? MR. BIGGERS: Nothing else from the government, Your Honor. MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: No. THE COURT: Okay. Then we will go ahead and adjourn court and I'd like to get started right at nine o'clock if at all possible tomorrow because I have a busy day. And so if you could get up here and get set up and all a few minutes before, I would appreciate it. Okay. All right, let's go on and adjourn court. MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE CLERK: All rise. This honorable court now stands in adjournment until tomorrow morning at nine a.m. (Adjournment at 5:59 p.m.)

## THURSDAY MORNING

## APRIL 24, 2014

The trial of this case resumed on this date, Thursday, April 24, 2014, at nine o'clock a.m., when and where evidence was introduced and proceedings were had as follows:

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.

MR. BIGGERS: Good morning.

MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Morning, Your

Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I think we are still waiting on the alternate juror so it's a little delay anyway -- oh, she's arrived.

I wanted to give you all a fresher copy of the closing instructions, turn your attention to a couple of things.

I think I covered everything that we discussed yesterday. Ms. Robinson, I thought a lot about I.D., and so I put in a different instruction on identification. It stills keeps the requirement and the burden on the government, but it eliminates a lot of the verbiage and language about a specific

identification of him. 1 2 Page seven is where it's located if you want to take a look. 3 4 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I -- I have read it 5 and it's fine --6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: -- I think it's 8 appropriate. 9 THE COURT: All right. And just take a 10 look at it. 11 MR. BIGGERS: Looked at it, Your Honor, it 12 looks perfect. 13 THE COURT: All right. Yeah, it's more 14 concise, I guess it's better for this situation. 15 Okay. 16 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: Also I want you all to turn 19 your attention to the verdict pages and see 20 specifically counts two and five, the 924(c) counts. 21 What I did was, you know, it's hard to 22 think about how to really set it out. It really 23 isn't a separate verdict but it's a factual finding 24 that the jury has to -- has to make. 25 And so I set it out in a separate

questions, first dealing with carrying and/or using a firearm during and in relation. Of course, they will find guilty or not guilty. If they find him not guilty, then we're done. And I will give that instruction, we will deal with it later.

But then further that factual finding is immediately after as to Count Two the defendant brandished or did not brandish a firearm during and in relation. So hopefully that satisfies that question for the government as well as for the, you know, the Court of Appeals if it ever — if it ever gets that far.

Mr. Biggers, I'm going to pass this back to you.

Two things that you made a request, I made those changes in the instructions. I just want to make sure that you discussed them with Ms. Robinson, let's see.

I need to -- I had that -- I left -- I left the actual page in chambers there, I thought I had it, these are your old instructions that were marked up, but there was another paragraph, a sentence or two further finding, in that language I did include, I also changed, made the other request that you made about that third element.

MR. BIGGERS: Your Honor, I believe it's 1 2 on -- the first change was that additional 3 paragraph --4 THE COURT: Yeah. 5 MR. BIGGERS: -- started with first, you do not have to find the interstate commerce is 6 7 actually affected --8 THE COURT: That's on page 11. 9 MR. BIGGERS: -- that's on page 11. 10 I discussed that with defense counsel and 11 she is fine with that. 12 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: No problem. 13 MR. BIGGERS: And in addition, and I do 14 see it on page 11. 15 THE COURT: Yeah. 16 MR. BIGGERS: The other change with regard 17 to the defendant's attempt to actual obstruct 18 interstate commerce. 19 THE COURT: The third element. 20 MR. BIGGERS: The third element on page --21 shown on page nine. 22 THE COURT: There it is, yes. 23 MR. BIGGERS: That is satisfactory to the 24 goverment as well. 25 THE COURT: I made that change in

1 language, too. Okay. 2 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: That's fine. 3 THE COURT: All right. Do you all need a 4 few minutes to keep going over this? 5 All the things we discussed yesterday, I 6 believe I have made those changes and included them. 7 The main ones, my concern was the verdict page on 8 the 924(c)'s, and then I changed the identification. 9 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I think they're 10 fine, Your Honor. 11 MR. BIGGERS: Fine with the government and 12 we are ready to proceed into closing, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I do have one 15 request, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Yes. MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I would like to 17 18 have the podium brought more to the middle in front 19 of the jury. I know you don't want anyone blocked, 20 but if the court would permit me to do that, I won't 21 move it until after Mr. Biggers --22 THE COURT: That's fine, that is not a problem. 23 24 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you, Your 25 Honor.

1 THE COURT: Maybe Mr. Herrin or either one 2 of the prosecutors or our security officer can help 3 you move that. 4 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: But it's no problem to move it 6 out in the middle. There is a wire for the 7 microphone, so please be careful, I would hate to 8 see that thing tumble over. 9 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I will. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. BIGGERS: I guess -- I guess one 12 request, Your Honor. 13 May I obtain one of the exhibits? 14 THE COURT: Sure, uh-huh. 15 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: I will say I 16 separated some out. But --17 MR. BIGGERS: I think it's one of the 18 videos, the copy we have of the video is not playing 19 this morning and I need to see it. 20 THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. 21 Okay. Obviously both sides have full 22 access to use of all of the exhibits, so that is not 23 a problem. 24 MR. BIGGERS: I speak with Mr. Herrin 25 briefly.

THE COURT: Go ahead. 1 2 Mr. Biggers -- Ross, it's your old copy. 3 There is a typo on page eight that I have 4 corrected, a couple of words were removed, 5 definition of robbery, and right at the very bottom on page eight I made that change. 6 7 It reads robbery is the unlawful attempt 8 of taking, and it should read robbery is the 9 unlawful taking. The copy that will go back to the 10 jury, that change has already been made. Okay. 11 Anything else? 12 I think we are ready to go ahead and begin 13 the arguments. 14 MS. JERMANN-ROBINSON: Yes. 15 MR. BIGGERS: That's correct, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. No one is going to 17 be arguing for two hours, are they? 18 I don't think I'm going to have put a 19 limit on anyone. All right. 20 Okay. Let's bring in the jury. 21 (Jury present at 9:25 a.m.) 22 THE COURT: All right. Good morning, 23 folks. 24 A JUROR: Good morning. 25 THE COURT: I hope you had a good evening.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. We worked through all of the things that we need to and we are now ready to proceed. Both sides are ready with their -- their closing arguments and afterwards we will go straight into the instructions that I have for you. Okay. So I'm going to stop talking and turn it over to the lawyers. Now remember that the government goes first, they will be able to argue. Then the defendant will have a full opportunity to argue. And assuming they do, the government has one last, what we call rebuttal because they have that burden of proof. And afterward I will go straight into the written instructions. The law requires that I actually read them to you. Okay. So bear along with us, it won't be too much longer and you will begin deliberating on the case. All right. So we turn to the government. I believe it will be Mr. Stringfellow. MR. BIGGERS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. STRINGFELLOW: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

(Closing arguments.)

## CERTIFICATE

I, Lynn Dudley, do hereby certify that the foregoing 458 pages are, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability, a true and accurate transcript from my stenotype notes of the trial of on April 21 thru 24, 2014, in the matter of:

United States of America vs.

Robert Drew

Dated this 28th day of August 2014.

Lynn Dudley Official Court Reporter United States District Court Western District of Tennessee